

Richard Chessick

**Freud and
His Followers**

Psychology of the Self and the Treatment of Narcissism

Freud and His Followers

Richard D. Chessick, M.D.

e-Book 2015 International Psychotherapy Institute

from *Psychology of the Self and the Treatment of Narcissism* Richard D. Chessick, M.D.

Copyright © 1985 Richard D. Chessick, M.D.

All Rights Reserved

Created in the United States of America

Table of Contents

[Freud's "On Narcissism"](#)

["Mourning and Melancholia"](#)

["Group Psychology and the Analysis of the Ego "](#)

[Ego Psychology](#)

[Self-esteem, Regulation in Traditional Psychoanalytic Theory](#)

[References](#)

Freud and His Followers

We turn now to some of the attempts made by psychoanalysts to understand the phenomena of narcissism in depth. Freud (1914) began the in-depth study of the subject in “On Narcissism” (see Giovacchini 1982, Chessick 1980). By the time he wrote this work, Freud had already outlined the importance of narcissism in the formulation of the psychodynamics of paranoid psychoses (Freud 1911). In 1909, Freud told Ernest Jones that he considered narcissism to be a normal stage of development between autoerotism and object relationships (Giovacchini 1982). This notion of a single line of libidinal development from autoerotism to narcissism to more or less mature and genital object relationships was assumed to be almost self-evident by earlier Freudian psychoanalysts and ego psychologists; it was challenged, however, by the so-called British school of clinicians, especially Michael Balint and others, but remained the prevailing view in American psychoanalysis until Kohut. Although Freud’s view seems to be consonant with common sense, it leads to certain problems since Freud was never clear about his distinction between the state of autoerotism and primary narcissism (Abend et al. 1983, p. 102).

His paper on narcissism is of great importance in the evolution of Freud's views. It sums up his ideas on the subject of narcissism and introduces the concepts of the ego ideal and the self-observing agency related to it. The paper also occupies a transitional point in the development of the structural theory; Strachey (in Freud 1914) points out that the meaning which Freud attached to *das Ich*—which Strachey translates by the word “ego”—underwent a gradual modification: “At first he used the term without any great precision as we might speak of the ‘self; but in his latest writings he gave it a very much more definite and narrow meaning” (p. 71). Bettelheim (1982) devotes a controversial book to a discussion of problems inherent in the translation and mistranslation of Freud's words such as *das Ich*; for example, he claims that to translate this word as “ego,” or *das Es* as “id,” is to misrepresent Freud's language in an effort to make it sound more “scientific.” Ornston (1985) also examines this problem in detail.

There is an inherent confusion in Freud's vocabulary. *Das Ich* could be thought of either a) as a technical structure with certain assigned functions in the mental apparatus or b) as more loosely representing the self. Freud thought of the ego in an increasingly technical structural sense as his work continued; he tended to

anthropomorphize it as a “little man within the man” as he grew older.

The year 1913 was one of the low points in Freud’s professional life. This period marked the breakup, due to the defection of Jung and Adler, of the growing international psychoanalytic movement. The debates which led to this defection forced Freud’s attention to the inexactitude of certain prior statements and definitions he had introduced and motivated him to define them precisely in order to demarcate his psychoanalysis from that of Jung and Adler. Admittedly “fuming with rage” (Jones 1955, p. 304), he wrote the polemical “On the History of the Psychoanalytic Movement” (1914a) and “On Narcissism” (1914). The latter work, which is condensed, complicated, and one of the most famous of Freud’s writings, had a revolutionary impact on his followers because it revised old ideas and introduced some new concepts. At the same time, it also introduced some serious new confusions and difficulties.

Freud’s “On Narcissism”

Freud’s main goal in this paper was to restrict the meaning of the term libido to sexual energy; Adler regarded it as a force or striving for

power and Jung widened it to mean the energy behind all life processes. In order to keep his original conception of libido, Freud had to make important theoretical revisions, the most fundamental of which was a change in his theory of instincts.

Freud's famous U-tube analogy of the flow of libido is presented in "On Narcissism." At first, all libido is developmentally collected in (cathected to) the ego, a situation Freud called primary narcissism. He defines its outward flow as representing the situation of object love—love for objects other than the self (or ego), a capacity that developmentally appears in the second year of life after an autoerotic phase (where no ego has yet formed) in the first two or three months of life, and then a primary narcissistic phase where, as stated, most of the libido is attached to the ego (self). However, the libido can flow back again or be withdrawn into the ego (not differentiated from self here) under various situations such as mental or physical disease, life threatening traumata or accidents, or old age, where this tendency toward self-preoccupation and self-love is especially obvious.

Whenever libido is mostly attached to the ego, we have the phenomenological situation defined as narcissism. In the early phase

of life, this situation is normal, according to Freud, and is called a state of primary narcissism; in later stages of life when the libido is withdrawn again to the ego, the state is defined as secondary narcissism.

Jones finds the “disagreeable” aspect of this theory in the fact that it was difficult for Freud to demonstrate non-narcissistic components of the ego. To say there is reason to suppose the ego is strongly invested with libido is not the same as saying it is composed of nothing else, writes Jones (1955, p. 303). “Something else” is difficult to pin down and opens Freud’s theory to the criticism of being a monistic libidinal conception of the mind. Freud’s metapsychological conception of narcissism is still not adequately clarified and resolved.

Current controversy is due to the ambiguity of Freud’s position. For example Kohut (1977) refers to Freud’s (1911) “most profound contributions to the area of archaic narcissism” in which Freud “shifted confusingly between the recognition of the importance of the regressive narcissistic position, on the one hand, and conflict issues on much higher developmental levels, namely, conflict concerning homosexuality, on the other” (p. 296).

At this point in “On Narcissism,” Freud conceived of two kinds of ego drives, the libidinal and non-libidinal. This theory was meant to precede a complete restructuring of psychoanalytic theory which was intended originally to be a book consisting of 12 essays entitled “Introduction to Metapsychology” that Freud proposed in 1915. Only five of these essays were published; Freud destroyed the rest.

“On Narcissism” merits careful study because it is so rich in clinical material, for example, discussion of narcissistic and anaclitic object choices and its introduction of the concept of “ego ideal.” Furthermore, Freud’s paper is the agreed-upon starting point for all psychodynamic studies of narcissistic personality disorders and borderline patients. The reader should note here that Freud’s U-tube analogy implies that, at least after the developmental phase of primary narcissism, there is *always* some residual primary narcissism, some secondary narcissism, and some libido directed toward objects; only the quantitative amounts of libido cathexes fluctuate, accounting for the varying clinical or phenomenological picture over a person’s life.

Freud begins by stating that narcissism is not a perversion but rather “the libidinal complement to the egoism of the instinct of self-

preservation, a measure of which may justifiably be attributed to every living creature” (pp. 73-74). The U-tube theory is then introduced. Freud also offers the analogy of the body of an amoeba related to the pseudopodia which it puts out and withdraws. Thus, just as the pseudopodia are extended and withdrawn, libido can either flow out to objects or flow back to the ego. This phenomenon of ego-libido spoils the neat dualistic, early instinct theory of Freud that divides all drives into sexual or egoistic (self-preservative).

Freud immediately employs the concept of narcissism in understanding schizophrenic phenomena; the megalomaniac aspect of schizophrenic patients is explained as a consequence of secondary narcissism. Most of the libido is withdrawn from objects and directed to the self, seen clinically and most dramatically in paranoid grandiosity. The converse phenomenon, where the most libido possible is directed to an object, appears as the state of being in love.

Freud goes on to postulate a phase of autoerotism at the very beginning of life, even before the nuclei of the ego have coalesced. Once the ego has begun to develop, the libido is invested in it; this is the phase of primary narcissism.

The second section of the paper begins with a discussion of hypochondria, in which the clinical phenomena of hypochondriasis are seen as the result of flooding the ego with libido that has been withdrawn from objects. Thus, the psychic expression of the flooding of the ego with libido appears in megalomania and an over flooding (or damming up) is felt as the disagreeable sensations of hypochondriacal anxiety. No explanation is available as to *why* the libido-flooded ego should feel these disagreeable sensations, but an analogy is drawn to the so-called “actual neuroses,” where, Freud thought, dammed-up libido due to inadequate sexual discharge leads to the disagreeable sensations of neurasthenia. In the case of hypochondriasis the libido which floods the ego comes from outside objects to which it has previously been cathected and is now being withdrawn; in the case of the actual neuroses, the libido comes from inside the individual and has been inadequately discharged.

In concluding his subsequent discussion of schizophrenia, Freud distinguishes three groups of phenomena in the clinical picture: those representing what remains of the normal or neurotic state of the individual; those representing detachment of libido from its objects, leading to megalomania, hypochondriasis, and regression; and

restitutive symptoms in which an effort is made once again to attach the libido to objects or at least to their verbal representations. These distinctions form the foundation of Freud's theory of schizophrenia.

Another clinical application of the concept of narcissism—the distinction between anaclitic and narcissistic choices of love objects—concludes the second section of this paper. The anaclitic object choice attempts to bring back the lost mother and precedes developmentally the narcissistic object choice. The latter is a form of secondary narcissism in which the person chosen to love resembles one's own self. For example, in certain forms of homosexuality, the object chosen is the child-self who is then treated the way the homosexual wishes his mother to treat him. To avoid confusion, it is important to understand that in early development primary narcissism comes first; then, due to inevitable frustration, anaclitic object-choice occurs with the mother as the first object. Therefore, narcissistic object choice, when it appears, represents a form of *secondary* narcissism in which the person loves what he himself is or was, what he would like to be, or someone thought of as a part of himself.

INSTINCT THEORIES

In the first instinct theory, the instincts were divided into the sexual instincts—easily modified and changed, relatively speaking—and the ego instincts, such as hunger and thirst, which are more fixed. In the second instinct theory, certain ego instincts are thought of as non-libidinal or “ego interest,” but some are thought of as ego-libido, that is, narcissism. In this theory the ego’s integrity depends on how much ego-libido is available, and ego-libido represents the glue holding the ego together. Thus an anaclitic object relationship may be viewed as a combination of two elements: the libido is directed toward the object that has been responsible for survival, the nutritive object, the mother; but if all the libido goes toward this object, the ego becomes depleted and helpless and depends on the object. The concept of sexual energies flowing within the ego made it very difficult to separate the libidinal and non-libidinal ego instincts because the “alibidinous” part is not well defined. Hunger and thirst do not quantitatively balance the libidinal instinct, and this theoretical revision is generally agreed to be unsatisfactory.

A tentative effort to improve this situation was made by postulating sexual instincts on the one hand and aggressive instincts on the other; the latter would then represent the non-libidinal ego

instincts. The notion of aggression as an ego instinct strengthened Freud's idea of dividing instincts between sexual instincts and non-libidinal ego instincts and was determined through a discussion of sadism. Freud argued that if self-preservative instincts include aggressive instincts along with hunger and thirst, they must become dominant over sexual instincts so that the reality principle could prevail. Since sadism permeates every level of living and can ally itself to all instincts as shown in the impulses to assert and control and aggress upon, the aggressive or sadistic instincts are seen as distinct from libidinal impulses. This is not a valid argument since, if sadism is found at every level of sexual development, why should it not be considered a part of the sexual instincts? The attempt to find a place for the aggressive drives characterized all Freud's further attempts at instinct theory, including his final theory of the life and death instincts and still remains an important and meta-psychologically unresolved aspect, especially of any consideration of narcissism and the borderline personality disorders.

The final section of the essay begins: "The disturbances to which a child's original narcissism is exposed, the reactions with which he seeks to protect himself from them and the paths into which he is

forced in doing so—these are themes which I propose to leave on one side, as an important field of work which still awaits exploration” (p. 92). Kohut’s work may be understood as emanating from this statement.

At this point, the aggressive instincts in Freud’s formulation should not be considered purely as sadism since he conceived of them here primarily as the will to power, control, and dominance, which only in certain cases involve a secondary need to inflict pain. We may say, therefore, that when the ego instincts are flooded by a libidinal complement from the sexual instincts, we have the clinical state of narcissism; when the sexual instincts are infused by an aggressive component from the ego instincts, we have the clinical situation of sexual sadism.

Missing from this temporary revision is the structural theory involving the id, ego, and superego; a step in this direction is present in “On Narcissism,” in the third part of which Freud introduces the notion of the ego ideal, which in the course of development becomes infused with the subject’s primary narcissism. Thus “what he projects before him as his ideal is the substitute for the lost narcissism of his

childhood in which he was his own ideal” (p. 94). This substitution is differentiated from sublimation, in which the aim of the instinct is changed with an accent upon deflection from sexuality.

It follows that the ego becomes impoverished by either object love or ego ideal formation and enriched by the gratification of object love or the fulfilling of the aims of its ego ideal. Self-esteem arises out of either of these enrichments and contains three components: the leftover residue of primary infantile narcissism; the sense of omnipotence corroborated by experiencing the fulfillment of the ego ideal; and satisfaction of object-libido by an input of love from the love object. Thus loving, insofar as it involves longing and deprivation, lowers self-regard, “whereas being loved, having one’s love returned, and possessing the loved object, raises it once more” (p. 99).

Besides explaining a variety of easily observable, everyday phenomena, these conceptions have an important bearing on the practice of psychoanalytic psychotherapy. If an individual is unable to love, that is, if there is a repression of the libidinal drive, only one source of self-regard is left: idealization or “fulfilling the ego ideal.” As Freud says, such persons tend to attach themselves to individuals who

have achieved what the patient's ego ideal clamors for, persons who possess the excellences which the patient cannot attain. This represents a "cure by love" and is the kind of expectation that often directs patients into psychotherapy. Thus, an important unconscious motivation for seeking therapy is the development of an attachment to a "successful" person (the psychotherapist) who has achieved the aims of the patient's ego ideal. The patient is tempted to form a crippling and permanent dependence upon the psychotherapist; there is further danger that, when some capacity to love is developed through the psychotherapy, the patient will withdraw from the treatment and choose a love object still permeated by the patient's ego ideal. The crippling dependence is then transferred to this new love object, and we observe the clinical phenomena that Odier (1956) has called the neurosis of abandonment.

NARCISSISTIC WOUNDING

A final important hint leading to the work of Kohut is presented at the end of "On Narcissism," in which it is noted that an injury to self-esteem or self-regard—what today we would call a narcissistic wound—is often found as the precipitating cause of paranoia. Any falling

short of the ego ideal, or any disappointment or depletion in the libidinal complement of the ego, would cause a withdrawal of libido from objects, with the subsequent clinical phenomena of hypochondriasis and megalomania.

Davis (1976) has presented an approach to depression based on similar considerations. He sees the core of depression as a feeling of uneasy helplessness, caused by psychic emptiness, coupled with a pressure to accomplish. He writes, "When we observe the sequence of depressive phenomena, we see that depressive emptiness is brought on by an acute diminution in self-esteem, what Freud called 'a narcissistic wound,'" (p. 417). Chronically depressed persons may have suffered repeated narcissistic wounds due to psychodynamic factors; their disorder is not only due to biological or constitutional factors. In this view, the requirements for therapy of at least chronic characterological depression are the need for alteration and modification of the self-esteem system of the patient.

OBSCURITIES IN FREUD'S THEORY

Freud points out, "A unity comparable to the ego cannot exist in

the individual from the start” (p. 77). Thus, during the autoerotic stage Freud thinks of the psyche as having very little structure. From this amorphous psyche, as the formation of a rudimentary ego takes place, the component instincts become directed towards it; Freud defines the attachment or cathexis of the libido to this rudimentary ego as primary narcissism; he distinguishes it from the energy of the ego-(or self-preservative) instincts. This original libidinal cathexis of the ego, from which some is later given off to objects but which fundamentally persists, would imply that a certain amount of primary narcissism remains as what Freud calls a “reservoir” throughout life; in that sense, narcissism to a certain extent is seen as normal and has no pejorative connotation.

In addition to a certain unclarity as to whether Freud regarded the persistence of primary narcissism in adult life as pathological, there is an inherent confusion in this essay because the locus of repression and idealization are placed within the same psychic structure. The ego ideal becomes the focus of self-love and contains all the perfections of infantile narcissism, but it also contains prohibitions since it is the instigator of repression. Giovacchini (1982) writes that today the standard Freudian viewpoint separates these factors and

thinks of violation of the prohibitions as causing fear and guilt, whereas shame results from not meeting the standards of the ego ideal. Thus, there are two ego substructures, with the superego responsible for repression and the ego responsible for regulating self-esteem. Later followers of Freud elaborate on this considerably.

Finally, the essay on narcissism contains some curious statements. For example, Freud insists that men are much more capable of complete object love than women, and implies that there is always a substantial narcissistic component in female love object choice. Here he reverts to the more pejorative use of narcissism as part of his well-known prejudice against women. Even his disclaimer (p. 89) that he has no “tendentious desire . . . to depreciate women” contains a backhanded slap implying the superiority of the masculine type of love. Parental love, Freud writes, “is nothing but” the parents’ narcissism born again. The famous phrase “his majesty the baby” is introduced, referring to a picture showing two London policemen stopping heavy traffic to allow a nursery maid to wheel a perambulator across the street. Of course, the great problem with this is the assumption that the baby is aware of what is going on and has the capacity to appreciate the royal situation in which it has been

placed. Giovacchini's (1982) greatest objection to the various theories of narcissism of Freud, Melanie Klein, and Kohut is that they are adultomorphizing, assuming the recognition of external objects and the capacity to introject and identify as being present in the infant. Are these capacities present, or are they far beyond the abilities of the embryonic ego at the stage of primary narcissism? Kohut's answer to this will be discussed later.

In addition, there are two obscure paragraphs (pp. 96-97) in which Freud takes up self-observation. The "self-criticism of conscience" in "paranoics [sic]," says Freud, "coincides with the self-observations on which it is based." He sees this as a form of internal research "which furnishes philosophy with the material for its intellectual operations." Apparently, Freud had in mind such works as Kant's *Critique of Pure Reason*. According to Freud, "This may have some bearing on the characteristic tendency of paranoics [sic] to construct speculative systems." There is a pejorative implication here concerning the speculative systems of philosophers, but Freud's view, of importance to both psychologists and philosophers, is that the activity of the critically observing agency in the mind can become heightened into conscience or philosophical introspection. Freud then

adds an astonishing statement implying that he is not a person who is gifted philosophically or accustomed to such introspection!

“Mourning and Melancholia”

Written in 1915, “Mourning and Melancholia” is regarded by Strachey (in Freud 1917, p. 240) as an extension of the essay on narcissism which Freud wrote a year earlier. Freud’s concept of identification is presented here; it precedes object cathexis and is distinct from it, often taking place on the model of cannibalistic incorporation or introjection. Three terms—incorporation, introjection, and identification—are used very loosely by Freud (and will be discussed in Chapter 5). It is most important to understand the process by which, in melancholia, an object-cathexis is replaced by an identification. These “identifications” are the basis of what we describe as a person’s character, and the very earliest of these “identifications” form the nucleus of the superego.

Freud (1917) begins with a caveat sometimes overlooked by his critics: depression or melancholia “whose definition fluctuates even in descriptive psychiatry, takes on various clinical forms the grouping

together of which into a single unity does not seem to be established with certainty; and some of these forms suggest somatic rather than psychogenic affections” (p. 243). He thus drops all claim to general validity for his statements about melancholia and acknowledges he may be speaking only of a small subgroup within what might be called the group of melancholias.

Freud offers a general clinical distinction between mourning and melancholia based on the fact that the features are the same, except for the profound disturbance of self-esteem which Freud says is characteristic of melancholia and is absent in mourning. Since mourning is a reaction to the loss of a loved person, Freud suspects that a similar kind of influence may be at work in the production of melancholia if there is a “pathological predisposition,” and he sets out to investigate this “pathological predisposition.”

The cornerstone of Freud’s reasoning is his clinical impression that the various self-accusations of the melancholic usually fit someone whom the patient loves, or has loved, or should love. Thus, Freud considers the key to the clinical picture his perception that the self-reproaches are reproaches against a loved object, reproaches

which have been shifted from the object onto the patient's own ego. He adds that in both obsessive compulsive disorders and melancholia such patients succeed by the circuitous path of self-punishment "in taking revenge on the original object and in tormenting their loved one through their illness, having resorted to it in order to avoid the need to express their hostility to him openly" (p. 251). He mentions that the person who precipitated the patient's emotional disorder is usually to be found in the patient's immediate environment.

This reasoning led to many later psychoanalytic investigations of the psychodynamics of depression and also led Freud to the issue of narcissistic object choice and narcissism. Goldberg (1975) reviews the history of psychoanalytic concepts of depression and points out that certain key concepts seem to occur over and over. These are the persistent connection of depression with the mother-child unit in the oral phase of development; narcissistic issues are always raised in the description of object relations of the depressed patient, centering upon identification and the regulation of self-esteem; and a regular association of depression with aggression or hostility, superego, and resultant guilt. Goldberg utilizes the definition of narcissism as psychologic investment in the self and points out:

The “regression of object cathexis to narcissism” indicates an increase of feeling or interest in the self: what we would call a heightened self-centeredness. This follows upon object loss and may result in the object being internalized. Therefore, the lost object can be replaced by another one or replaced through an identification. Depending on how such a loss is handled, one may experience depression or merely a shift in object interest, (p. 127)

Melancholia is a pathological state “involving narcissistic blows to the ego experienced as losses and involving more wholesale or traumatic internalization of the offending object” (Goldberg 1975, p. 128). As Freud (1917) reasons, the predisposition to fall ill of melancholia lies in the predominance of the narcissistic type of object choice in the patient’s psychic functioning.

The fundamental process in which, due to a loss “the shadow of the object fell upon the ego” (p. 249), is Freud’s metaphorical way of describing an identification of the ego with the abandoned object, vital in the formation of character and of the superego. This narcissistic identification with the object forms a regression from adult erotic object choice to narcissistic object choice, as it has been defined in Freud’s paper on narcissism.

Freud carefully differentiates this from identification with the object in the transference neuroses. In narcissistic identification the object cathexis is abandoned, but in hysterical identification it persists and manifests its influence. Freud suggests that also in melancholics the original object choice has been of a narcissistic type, or at least there is a tendency for the predominance of the narcissistic type of object choice required in the disposition to fall ill of melancholia.

Most importantly, he raises the issue of whether a purely narcissistic blow to the ego (p. 253) may not be sufficient to produce the picture of melancholia, regardless of any realistic object loss. He mentions that the complex of melancholia “behaves like an open wound, drawing to itself cathectic energies . . . from all directions, and emptying the ego until it is totally impoverished” (p. 253).

When the melancholic process is released there may be a rebound in which the liberated energy leads to a hypomanic state characterized by Freud as “seeking like a ravenously hungry man for new object-cathexes” (p. 255). Thus, Freud distinguishes between the slow and gradual work of normal mourning which, when it is finished, is not usually marked by any hypomanic phase, and the narcissistic

disorder of melancholia, which may be interrupted by a sudden liberation of energies in a hypomanic state. In the intensive psychotherapy of narcissistic and borderline disorders this fluctuation between melancholic states and hypomanic states can be observed, and the dynamics as described by Freud are still as useful in understanding these phenomena today even though the more extreme fluctuations of manic-depressive disorder need to be treated with psycho-pharmacologic agents and may have an important organic basis.

Freud's paper also contains a discussion of suicide, an ever-present problem in narcissistic, borderline, and schizophrenic patients. Freud explains that the ego kills itself, if, on regressing to narcissism, it gets rid of the object and treats itself as identified with the object. The ego then directs against itself the full fury of the hostility which was originally directed to the object in the external world.

“Group Psychology and the Analysis of the Ego ”

In the monograph *Group Psychology and the Analysis of the Ego*

(1921), the separateness of the “conscience” and ego ideal from the ego began more specifically to appear in Freud’s thinking. Here he conceives of the possibility of the ego ideal-conscience as coming into conflict with the rest of the ego and even raging with a critical cruelty against the ego. The extent of this cruelty, which can function unconsciously, was a major motivation for his development and final presentation of the structural theory in *The Ego and the Id* (1923).

Freud considered the development of the superego primarily as a consequence of the resolution of the Oedipus complex. He increasingly emphasized the punitive and cruel aspects of the superego rather than its benign, loving aspect. In *Inhibitions, Symptoms and Anxiety* (1926) he thought of the threat from the superego as an extension of the castration threat and finally, in *New Introductory Lectures on Psychoanalysis* (1933), he viewed the superego as an internalized parental authority dominating the ego through punishment and threats of withdrawal of love. The common paradox of the clinically observed contrast between the harshness of the superego’s imitation of the parents and the actual gentleness of the parents in real life was explained through the borrowing by the superego of the child’s own hostility to the prohibiting parent. Thus, the superego is always

thought of as having a direct connection to the id and as able to drain aggression from the id by turning it upon the ego.

Group Psychology and the Analysis of the Ego was inspired by the collapse of the Austro-Hungarian Empire at the end of 1918 and by the panic and distress that followed. It proposes the rudiments of a sociology that rejects the concept of an autonomous social instinct and is based instead on Freud's libido theory and his emerging notion of the ego ideal. In the latter sense it is a transitional work on the structural theory to be more completely realized in *The Ego and the Id* (1923).

Freud starts by accepting and recapitulating the theories of LeBon and McDougall on the group mind. The behavior of groups is like that of a primitive savage or child; emotions become extraordinarily labile and intensified, and intellect is reduced. Freud writes: "A group is impulsive, changeable and irritable. It is led almost exclusively by the unconscious. . . . Though it may desire things passionately, yet this is never so for long, for it is incapable of perseverance. It has a sense of omnipotence" (p. 77). He continues, "A group is extraordinarily credulous and open to influence. . . . It goes

directly to extremes; if a suspicion is expressed, it is instantly changed into an incontrovertible certainty; a trace of antipathy is turned into furious hatred” (p. 78).

As far as leadership is concerned, exaggeration and repetition affect the group far more than logic, because the group respects force and demands strength or even violence from its heroes. LeBon believed that a group wants to be ruled and oppressed and to fear its masters, and Freud seems to be in agreement. They also believe that the group seeks a strong-willed leader who has a fanatical belief in his ideas.

Groups tend always and naturally to behave toward each other as children or primitive savages; there is a collective lowering of intellectual ability of the group just by virtue of its being a group. This “regressive tendency,” as Freud calls it, is inherent in the psychological nature of all groups, and it cries out continuously for a particular type of leader.

In what Freud calls the primary group, each member has put the leader in the place of the individual ego-ideal and the member has

consequently identified with the group. Group formation, argues Freud, is always a regressive phenomenon in itself, because it takes place through identification and thus is based on a more primitive level of human functioning than individual object-choice. Similarly, there is a tendency to pick the leader of the group not through intellectual or mature object-choice but through what would now be called a consensus process. The leader needs often to “only possess the typical qualities of the individuals in the group in a particularly clearly marked and pure form, and need only give an impression of greater force and of more freedom of libido; and in that case the need for a strong chief will often meet him half-way and invest in him a predominance to which he would otherwise have had no claim” (p. 129).

Notice again that in group formation Freud considers this substitution of identification for object choice to be a consequence of regression. The common emotional quality which stimulates identification in the case of group formation is due to having the leader as a common ego ideal: “Identification is the original form of emotional tie with an object; secondly, in a regressive way it becomes a substitute for a libidinal object-tie, as it were by means of introjection

of the object into the ego” (p. 108). Thus here as in “Mourning and Melancholia” when he discusses the shadow of the object falling upon the ego, Freud uses the concept of introjection of the object. In the situation of being in love, hypnosis, and group formation, the object is put in place of the ego ideal and the narcissistic libido flows from the individual’s ego ideal onto the object. This will be reconsidered when we turn to aspects of the work of Kohut.

Ego Psychology

Many careful studies were made by subsequent authors of the substructures of the ego and the interrelationship between the ego, the id, and the superego. Direct communication is postulated between the id and the superego, based on Freud’s (1923) contention that the ego forms the superego out of the id, and the relationship between the id and superego is further exemplified by the well-known paradoxical increase of superego harshness when the external discharge of id aggressive drives is inhibited. The function of the benign aspect of the superego was portrayed and considered to carry those internalizations originating from the mother’s benign attitudes and certain internalizations originating in the relationship to the father.

The maternal benign superego was thought of as adjacent to the ego ideal because of the similarity between its origins in the maternal symbiotic relationship and the development of early narcissism into the ego ideal.

A conflict-free sphere of the ego was organized hierarchically by subsequent “ego psychologists.” Beginning at the interface with the id were placed the primary autonomous functions such as control of motility, perception, anticipation, thinking, reality testing, memory, object comprehension, and language. Also included were the synthetic function, integrating function, the functions of judgment, intent, will, the self-observing functions, and the representations of the self and object. Independent traits of character were thought to form due to “change of function” among the secondary autonomous functions (which originally developed to deal with infantile drives), and ego interests such as social status, influence, power, professional status, and wealth arise from these. Inborn ego apparatuses were thought to exist, and the structural theory was elaborated at great length.

Hartmann (1950) distinguished from each other the libidinal cathexis to the ego, which represents a narcissistic ego cathexis; the

libidinal cathexis to the self (or self-representation), which represents narcissism; and the libidinal cathexis to the soma, or body, which is clinically manifest as hypochondriasis. Thus the focus in psychoanalytic theory shifted from the id (1897-1923), in which authoritative id interpretations were made to the patient, to the ego (1923 and thereafter), in which the emphasis was upon the interpretation of the ego as it functioned in defenses and resistances. A vast and detailed “ego psychology” literature arose on these topics, but it is beyond the scope of this book.

In this system, ambition was primarily conceived of in drive theory terminology. On the oral level, it was based on the wish to incorporate the world; on the anal level, to produce the biggest bowel movement—a productive orientation; and on the phallic level to have the biggest capacity and be the most outstanding. The ego ideal was approached by efforts to be magical and powerful in sublimated, socially acceptable ways and to get approval from one’s self and others.

The ego contained defensive functions with which it had to mediate between its three harsh masters: the id, the superego, and

external reality; it contained primary and secondary autonomous functions, and it was thought to contain intrapsychic self and object representations and identifications. We will deal at greater length with these representations in Chapter 5.

Self-esteem, Regulation in Traditional Psychoanalytic Theory

Reich (1960) described self-esteem regulation based on the use of classical conceptions. For her, self-esteem depends “on the nature of the inner image against which we measure our own self, as well as on the ways and means at our disposal to live up to it” (p. 217); and “growing up” means to realistically evaluate our potentialities and accept our limitations.

Aggression is stressed in both the infantile demand for magical absolute perfection and control and in the negative state of fear of complete destruction. Due to the warded-off feelings of catastrophic annihilation that occur as infantile grandiosity is collapsed, the rage is turned on the self with the production of hypochondriacal anxieties, depression, and self-consciousness. Thus, for Reich, self-consciousness is a step towards the paranoid pattern: “I am not the one who wants to

exhibit himself aggressively, but other people aggressively observe and judge me” (p. 230). This fear of annihilation is followed by compensatory narcissistic self-inflation. In the narcissistic patient, “regressive abandonment of reality testing with respect to self-appreciation occurs frequently as an isolated lacuna in an otherwise well-coordinated personality” (p. 221).

Her paper represents an example of the effort to understand narcissistic pathology on the basis of classical psychoanalytic drive theory. She writes, “What we loosely describe as ‘narcissists’ are people whose libido is mainly concentrated on themselves at the expense of object love” (p. 217). Two basic implications are found in this paper that are characteristic of the Freudian and post-Freudian traditional psychoanalytic attitude. The first is a relatively pejorative use of the description of narcissism, and the second is that, unfortunately, significant help for patient treatment does not arise from the metapsychology employed. In general, beginning with Freud, there was a sense of discouragement about the psychoanalytic possibilities for the treatment of schizophrenic, borderline, and narcissistic (including depressed) patients. The metapsychology employed, based on the concept that the libido is concentrated on the

ego of these patients themselves, implied the poor capacity of such individuals to form any object-related transference and therefore to be amenable to the method of psychoanalysis.

THE CASE OF DANIEL

One of the cases that Reich describes is a classic for the diagnosis of narcissism, and indicates that she was describing the same kinds of cases that are discussed by Kohut. Daniel K. was an accomplished writer who wrote one book after another with marked success, but did not feel gratified by it. He would look at a bookshelf and see all the books he wrote and edited and say, “There are about two and a half feet of Mr. K. on the shelf.” Reich emphasizes the phallic meaning of this statement and sees it to mean that Daniel was reassuring himself that his phallus was not only there, but of extraordinary size, a standard psychoanalytic interpretation.

Daniel was constantly preoccupied with attempts to feel great and important; he was a man of considerable talent, but his writing was careless and superficial—not up to the level of his capacities—because he was driven to produce too fast. He could not wait for

results because he could not stand tension and unpleasure; he needed the immediate gratification of success. Reich explains:

This need was so overwhelmingly strong that he had little control over it. He also was touchy, quick to take offense at the slightest provocation. He continually anticipated attack and danger, reacting with anger and fantasies of revenge when he felt frustrated in his need for constant admiration, (p. 218)

This is immediately followed by the dynamic interpretation that “his main aim was to increase his self-esteem and to ward off the underlying danger of passivity by incessant masculine activity” (p. 218).

Reich interprets what she calls “a bottomless need for grandiosity” as a compensatory striving under the impact of unbearable castration fears. In her view this is a narcissistic neurosis at the base of which is an Oedipus complex with castration anxiety. There is, however, the deeper conception that the castration threats contain the various fears from the pregenital phases of psychosexual development, so that the quest for phallic intactness also expresses the undoing of pregenital losses and injuries. In Freudian theory one

would hope that there was enough libido available even after this secondary or compensatory narcissism formation had taken place for the development of a transference and a working through of the patient's Oedipus complex, at which point compensatory secondary narcissism would no longer be necessary. The patient's personality would then change in the direction away from infantile narcissism and toward the realistic evaluation of the patient's potentialities and the acceptance of limitations. Above all, the patient would manifest greater capacity for object love. A study of Reich's paper and the use of her case of Daniel K. as a paradigmatic example is an excellent place to begin a course on the study of Kohut because it illustrates the point of view of psychoanalytic ego psychology at the time of Kohut's contributions.

SUMMARY OF TRADITIONAL PSYCHOANALYTIC THEORY

Teicholz (1978) presents a selective review of the psychoanalytic literature on theoretical conceptualizations of narcissism. She states that Freud's 1914 paper on narcissism held within it the seeds for almost all the subsequent theoretical developments of the concept. Freud's major contributions included a definition of secondary

narcissism as a withdrawal of libido from the outer world and redirection of it onto the ego; the designation of the ego ideal as the adult version of infantile narcissism; the delineation of narcissistic object choice, in which the person chooses someone as much like one's self as possible or as what one's self was or would like to be; and a recognition of the important connection of self-regard and narcissistic libido.

Teicholz points out that the major subsequent changes in Freud's theory were Hartmann's delineation in 1950 of the object or target of the libido in narcissism as the self rather than the ego; an elaboration of the concept of "self" as a set of representations included in the structure of the ego; increasing emphasis on a distinction between internalized object relations as opposed to relations between the self and objects in the external world; and elaboration of the concept of self-esteem regulation as in the paper by Reich. Teicholz cites Jacobson's (1964) important work on the elaboration of the process by which self and object representations become differentiated and internalized as stable, enduring structures: "According to Jacobson, the normal regulation of self-esteem is dependent on the normal maturation and development of, and on the optimal interaction

between several id, ego, and super-ego functions” (p. 847).

References

- Abend, S., Porder, M., and Willick, M. (1983). *Borderline Patients: Psychoanalytic Perspectives*. New York: International Universities Press.
- Abraham, K. (1919). A particular form of neurotic resistance against the psychoanalytic method. In *Selected Papers on Psychoanalysis*. London: Hogarth Press, 1949.
- Adler, G. (1981). The borderline-narcissistic personality disorder continuum. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 138:1-50.
- Aichorn, A. (1955). *Wayward Youth*. New York: Meridian Books.
- Akhtar, S., and Thomson, J. (1982). Overview: Narcissistic personality disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 139:1-20.
- Alexander, F. (1950). *Psychosomatic Medicine*. New York: Norton.
- Amis, M. (1985). *Money: A Suicide Note*. New York: Viking.
- Appels, A., Pool, J., and vander Does, E. (1979). Psychological prodromata of myocardial infarction. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research* 23: 405-421.
- Arlow, J., and Brenner, C. (1984). *Psychoanalytic Concepts and the Structural Theory*. New York: International Universities Press.
- Arnold, M. (1869). *Culture and Anarchy*, ed. R. Super. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1980.

- Bach, S. (1975). Narcissism, continuity and the uncanny. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 56:77-86.
- (1977). On the narcissistic state of consciousness. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 58:209-233.
- (1977a). On narcissistic fantasies. *International Review of Psycho-Analysis* 4:281-293.
- Bak, B. (1973). Being in love and object loss. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 54:1-8.
- Balint, M. (1953). *Primary Love and Psycho-Analytic Technique*. New York: Liveright.
- (1968). *The Basic Fault: Therapeutic Aspects of Regression*. London: Tavistock.
- Barnes, H. (1980-1981). Sartre's concept of the self. *Review of Existential Psychology and Psychiatry* 17:41-66.
- Basch, M. (1980). *Doing Psychotherapy*. New York: Basic Books.
- (1981). Self-object disorders and psychoanalytic theory: A historical perspective. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 29: 337-351.
- (1983). Empathic understanding: A review of the concept and some theoretical considerations. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 31:101-126.

- Bettelheim, B. (1982). *Freud and Man's Soul*. New York: Knopf.
- Bick, E. (1968). The experience of the skin in early object-relations. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 49:484-486.
- Bion, W. (1963). *Elements of Psycho-Analysis*. New York: Basic Books.
- (1967). *Second Thoughts: Selected Papers on Psycho-Analysis*. London: Heinemann.
- Blanck, G., and Blanck, R. (1973). *Ego Psychology*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- (1979). *Ego Psychology*, vol. II. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Bornstein, M. (1984). Commentaries on Merton Gills's Analysis of Transference. *Psychoanalytic Inquiry* 4:391-392, 446.
- Breu, G. (1979). Medics: Heinz Kohut. *People Weekly* 11:60-63.
- Breuer, J., and Freud, S. (1893-1895). Studies on Hysteria. *Standard Edition* 2:1-305.
- Broad, C. (1978). *Kant: An Introduction*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Brown, L., and Hausman, A. (1981). Intentionality and the unconscious: A comparison of Sartre and Freud. In *The Philosophy of Jean-Paul Sartre*, ed. P. Schilpp. La Salle, 111.: Open Court.
- Bruch, H. (1973). *Eating Disorders*. New York: Basic Books.

- (1974). *Learning Psychotherapy*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- (1975). Anorexia nervosa. In *American Handbook of Psychiatry*, 2nd ed., vol. IV, ed. S. Arieti. New York: Basic Books.
- (1979). *The Golden Cage*. New York: Vintage Books.
- (1982). Anorexia nervosa: Therapy and theory. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 139:1531-1538.
- Buie, D. (1981). Empathy: Its nature and limitations. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 29:281-308.
- Butler, C. (1984). Commentary. In *Hegel: The Letters*, trans. C. Butler and C. Seiler. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Calder, K. (1980). An analyst's self-analysis. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 28:5-20.
- Calef, V., and Weinshel, E. (1979). The new psychoanalysis and psychoanalytic revisionism. *Psychoanalytic Quarterly* 48:470-491.
- Cannon, W. (1953). *Bodily Changes in Pain, Hunger, Fear and Rage*. Boston: Branford.
- Cantwell, D., Sturzenberger, S., Burroughs, J., Salkin, B., and Breen, J. (1977). Anorexia nervosa: An affective disorder. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 34:1087-1096.
- Carruthers, M. (1974). *The Western Way of Death*. New York: Pantheon Books.

- Casper, R. (1983). On the emergence of bulimia nervosa as a syndrome. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* 2:3-16.
- , and Davis, J. (1977). On the course of anorexia nervosa. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 134:974-978.
- , Halmi, K., Goldberg, S., Eckart, E., and Davis, J. (1979). Disturbances in body image estimation as related to other characteristics and outcome in anorexia nervosa. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 134: 60-66.
- , Offer, D., and Ostrov, J. (1981). The self-image of adolescents with acute anorexia nervosa. *Journal of Pediatrics* 98:656-661.
- Cassimatis, E. (1984). The "false self": Existential and therapeutic issues. *International Review of Psychoanalysis* 11:69-77.
- Chessick, R. (1960). The "pharmacogenic orgasm" in the drug addict. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 3:545-556.
- (1965). Empathy and love in psychotherapy. *American Journal of Psychotherapy* 19:205-219.
- (1966). Office psychotherapy of borderline patients. *American Journal of Psychotherapy* 20:600-614.
- (1968). The "crucial dilemma" of the therapist in the psychotherapy of borderline patients. *American Journal of Psychotherapy* 22:655-666.
- (1969). *How Psychotherapy Heals*. New York: Science House.

- (1971). *Why Psychotherapists Fail*. New York: Science House.
- (1971a). The use of the couch in psychotherapy of borderline patients. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 26:306-313.
- (1972). The development of angiospastic retinopathy during the intensive psychotherapy of a borderline patient. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 27:241-244.
- (1972a). Externalization and existential anguish. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 27:764-770.
- (1973). Contributions to ego psychology from the treatment of borderline patients. *Medikon* 2:20-21.
- (1974). *The Technique and Practice of Intensive Psychotherapy*. New York: Jason Aronson.
- (1974a). Defective ego feeling and the quest for Being in the borderline patient. *International Journal of Psychoanalytic Psychotherapy* 3: 73-89.
- (1974b). The borderline patient. In *American Handbook of Psychiatry*, 2nd ed., vol. 3, ed. S. Arieti. New York: Basic Books.
- (1976). *Agonie: Diary of a Twentieth Century Man*. Ghent, Belgium: European Press.
- (1977). *Intensive Psychotherapy of the Borderline Patient*. New York: Jason Aronson.

- (1977a). *Great Ideas in Psychotherapy*. New York: Jason Aronson.
- (1978). Countertransference crises with borderline patients. *Current Concepts in Psychiatry* 4:20-24.
- (1979). A practical approach to the psychotherapy of the borderline patient. *American Journal of Psychotherapy* 33:531-546.
- (1980). *Freud Teaches Psychotherapy*. Indianapolis: Hackett.
- (1980a). The problematical self in Kant and Kohut. *Psychoanalytic Quarterly* 49:456-473.
- (1980b). Some philosophical assumptions of intensive psychotherapy. *American Journal of Psychotherapy* 34:496-509.
- (1982). Intensive psychotherapy of a borderline patient. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 39:413-422.
- (1982a). Psychoanalytic listening: With special reference to the views of Langs. *Contemporary Psychoanalysis* 18:613-634.
- (1983). *A Brief Introduction to the Genius of Nietzsche*. Washington, D.C.: University Press of America.
- (1983a). Problems in the intensive psychotherapy of the borderline patient. *Dynamic Psychotherapy* 1:20-32.
- (1983b). Marilyn Monroe: Psychoanalytic pathography of a preoedipal disorder. *Dynamic Psychotherapy* 1:161-176.

- (1983c). *The Ring*: Richard Wagner's dream of preoedipal destruction. *American Journal of Psychoanalysis* 43:361-374.
- (1984). Sartre and Freud. *American Journal of Psychotherapy* 38: 229-238.
- (1984a). Was Freud wrong about feminine psychology? *American Journal of Psychoanalysis* 44:355-368.
- (1984b). A failure in psychoanalytic psychotherapy of a schizophrenic patient. *Dynamic Psychotherapy* 2:136-156.
- (1984c). Matthew Arnold, the death instinct, and the future of man. *Cogito* 2:31-48.
- (1985). Prolegomena to the study of Paul Ricoeur's "Freud and Philosophy." In press.
- (1985a). The search for the authentic self in Bergson and Proust. In. *Psychoanalytic Perspectives in Literature and Film*, eds. J. Rep-pen and M. Charney. Madison, N.J.: Farleigh Dickinson University Press.
- (1985b). Clinical notes towards the understanding and intensive psychotherapy of adult eating disorders. *Annual of Psychoanalysis* 13:301-322.
- (1985c). Psychoanalytic listening II. *American Journal of Psychotherapy* 39:30-48.
- (1986). Transference and countertransference revisited. *Dynamic Psychotherapy*. In press.

- (1986a). Heidegger for psychotherapists. *American Journal of Psychotherapy*. In press.
- (1986b). Kohut and the contemporary continental tradition: A comparison of Kohut with Lacan and Foucault. *Dynamic Psychotherapy: Theoretical and Clinical Contributions*, ed. P. Buirski. New York: Brunner/Mazel. In press.
- , and Bassan, M. (1968). Experimental approaches to the concept of empathy in psychotherapy. In *An Evaluation of the Results of Psychotherapy*, ed. S. Lesse. Springfield, Ill.: Charles C Thomas.
- Clement, C. (1983). *The Lives and Legends of Jacques Lacan*, trans. A. Goldhammer, New York: Columbia University Press.
- Clements, C. (1982). Misusing psychiatric models: The culture of narcissism. *Psychoanalytic Review* 69:283-295.
- Collier, A. (1977). *R. D. Laing: The Philosophy and Politics of Psychotherapy*. Hassocks, England: Harvester Press.
- Crease, R., and Mann, C. (1984). How the universe works. *Atlantic Monthly*, 254:66-93.
- Crews, F. (1980). Analysis terminable. *Commentary* 70:25-34.
- Dally, P. (1969). *Anorexia Nervosa*. New York: Grune & Stratton.
- Darwin, C. (1965). *The Expression of Emotion in Man and Animals*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

- Davis, G. (1976). Depression: Some updated thoughts. *Journal of the Academy of Psychoanalysis* 4:411-424.
- De Beauvoir, S. (1984). *Adieux: A Farewell to Sartre*. New York: Pantheon Books.
- Dembroski, T., MacDougall, J., Williams, R., Haney, T., and Blumenthal, J. (1985). Components of Type A, hostility, and anger-in: Relationship to angiographic findings. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 47:219-233.
- De Wald, P. (1964). *Psychotherapy*. New York: Basic Books.
- Dorpat, T. (1974). Internalization of the patient-analyst relationship in patients with narcissistic disorders. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 55:183-188.
- Dreyfus, H., and Rabinow, P. (1982). *Michel Foucault: Beyond Structuralism and Hermeneutics*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Dryud, J. (1984). Sartre and psychoanalysis: What can we learn from a lover's quarrel?. *Contemporary Psychoanalysis* 20:230-244.
- Eagle, M. (1984). *Recent Developments in Psychoanalysis*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Edel, L. (1969). *Henry James: The Treacherous Years: 1895-1901*. Philadelphia: Lippincott.
- Edelson, M. (1984). *Hypothesis and Evidence in Psychoanalysis*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

- Eissler, K. (1953). The effect of the structure of the ego on psychoanalytic technique. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 1:104-143.
- (1971). Death drive, ambivalence, and narcissism. *Psychoanalytic Study of the Child* 26:25-78.
- (1975). The fall of man. *Psychoanalytic Study of the Child* 30:589-646.
- Ellenberger, H. (1970). *The Discovery of the Unconscious: The History and Evolution of Dynamic Psychiatry*. New York: Basic Books.
- Ellis, H. (1898). Auto-erotism: A psychological study. *Alienist and Neurologist* 19:260-299.
- Ewing, A. (1967). *A Short Commentary on Kant's "Critique of Pure Reason."* Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Fairbairn, W. (1963). Synopsis of an object-relations theory of the personality. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 44:224-225.
- Fairlie, H. (1977). Sloth or acedia. *New Republic*, October 29, 1977, pp. 20-33.
- Federn, P. (1947). Principles of psychotherapy in latent schizophrenia. *American Journal of Psychotherapy* 2:129-147.
- Fenichel, O. (1945). *The Psychoanalytic Theory of Neurosis*. New York: Norton.
- Ferenczi, S. (1955). *Selected Papers. Volume III: Final Contributions to the Problems and Methods of Psychoanalysis*. New York: Basic Books.

- Ferguson, M. (1981). Progress and theory change: The two analyses of Mr. Z. *Annual of Psychoanalysis* 9:133-160.
- Finlay-Jones, R. (1983). Disgust with life in general. *Australian New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* 17:149-162.
- Fliess, R. (1942). The metapsychology of the analyst. *Psychoanalytic Quarterly* 2:211-227.
- Foucault, M. (1972). *The Archaeology of Knowledge*, trans. A. Smith. New York: Pantheon Books.
- (1973). *Madness and Civilization*, trans. A. Smith. New York: Vintage.
- (1973a). *The Order of Things*. New York: Vintage.
- (1980). *Power/Knowledge: Selected Interviews and Other Writings*, ed. C. Gordon. New York: Pantheon Books.
- (1980a). *The History of Sexuality*, vol. I, trans. R. Hurley. New York: Vintage.
- Fox, R. (1984). The principle of abstinence reconsidered. *International Review of Psychoanalysis* 11:227-236.
- French, T., and Fromm, E. (1964). *Dream Interpretation*. New York: Basic Books.
- Freud, A. (1971). Difficulties in the path of psychoanalysis: A confrontation of past with present viewpoints. In *The Writings of Anna Freud*, vol. VII. New York: International Universities Press.

- Freud, S. (1905). Three essays on the theory of sexuality. *Standard Edition* 7:125-248.
- (1905a). Fragment of an analysis of a case of hysteria. *Standard Edition* 7:3-124.
- (1911). Psycho-analytic notes on an autobiographical account of a case of paranoia (dementia paranoides). *Standard Edition* 12:3-84.
- (1912). Recommendations to physicians practicing psycho-analysis. *Standard Edition* 12:109-120.
- (1914). On narcissism: An introduction. *Standard Edition* 14:67-104.
- (1914a). On the history of the psycho-analytic movement. *Standard Edition* 14:1-66.
- (1917). Mourning and melancholia. *Standard Edition* 14:237-258.
- (1920). Beyond the pleasure principle. *Standard Edition* 18:3-66.
- (1921). Group psychology and the analysis of the ego. *Standard Edition* 18:67-144.
- (1923). The ego and the id. *Standard Edition* 19:3-68.
- (1926). Inhibitions, symptoms and anxiety. *Standard Edition* 20: 77-178.
- (1930). Civilization and its discontents. *Standard Edition* 21:59-148.
- (1933). New introductory lectures on psychoanalysis. *Standard Edition*

22:3-184.

---- (1937). Analysis terminable and interminable. *Standard Edition* 23:209-254.

---- (1940). Splitting of the ego in the process of defense. *Standard Edition* 23:273-278.

---- (1940a). An outline of psycho-analysis. *Standard Edition* 23:141-208.

Friedman, E., and Hellerstein, H. (1973). Influence of psychosocial factors on coronary risk and adaptation to a physical fitness evaluation program. In *Exercise Testing and Exercise Training in Coronary Heart Disease*, ed. J. Naughton and H. Hellerstein. New York: Academic Press.

Friedman, L. (1978). Trends in the psychoanalytic theory of treatment. *Psychoanalytic Quarterly* 47:524-567.

---- (1980). Kohut: A book review essay. *Psychoanalytic Quarterly* 49: 393-422.

Friedman, M. (1969). *Pathogenesis of Coronary Artery Disease*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

---- , and Rosenman, R. (1974). *Type-A Behavior and Your Heart*. New York: Knopf.

---- , and Ulmer, D. (1984). *Treating Type-A Behavior and Your Heart*. New York: Knopf.

- Fromm-Reichmann, F. (1950). *Principles of Intensive Psychotherapy*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Frosch, J. (1977). The relation between acting out and disorders of impulse control. *Psychiatry* 40:295-314.
- Garner, D., Garfinkel, P., Stancer, H., and Moldofsky, H. (1976). Body image disturbances in anorexia nervosa and obesity. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 38:327-336.
- Gediman, H. (1975). Reflection on romanticism, narcissism, and creativity. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 23:407-423.
- Gedo, J. (1977). Notes on the psychoanalytic management of archaic transferences. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 25:787-803.
- (1979). Theories of object relations: A metapsychological assessment. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 27:361-374.
- (1980). Reflections on some current controversies in psychoanalysis. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 28:363-384.
- (1984). *Psychoanalysis And Its Discontents*. New York: Guilford Press.
- , and Goldberg, A. (1973). *Models of the Mind: A Psychoanalytic Theory*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Gill, M. (1982). *Analysis of Transference*, vol. I. New York: International Universities Press.

- Giovacchini, P. (1977). A critique of Kohut's theory of narcissism. *Adolescent Psychiatry* 5:213-239.
- (1978). Discussion in symposium on Kohut's "Restoration of the Self." *Psychoanalytic Review* 65:617-620.
- (1979). *Treatment of Primitive Mental States*. New York: Jason Aronson.
- (1982). *A Clinician's Guide to Reading Freud*. New York: Jason Aronson.
- Gitelson, M. (1952). The emotional position of the analyst in the psychoanalytic situation. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 33:1-10.
- Glenn, J. (1984). Review of "Practice And Precept In Psychoanalytic Technique: Selected Papers of Rudolph M. Loewenstein." *Psychoanalytic Quarterly* 53:315-322.
- Glover, E. (1956). *On the Early Development of Mind*. New York: International Universities Press.
- Goethe, J. von (1774). *The Sufferings of Young Werther*, trans. B. Morgan. New York: Ungar, 1954.
- Goldberg, A. (1975). The evolution of psychoanalytic concepts of depression. In *Depression and Human Existence*, ed. E. Anthony and T. Benedek. Boston: Little, Brown.
- (1976). A discussion of the paper by C. Hanly and J. Masson. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 57:67-70.

- (ed.) (1978). *The Psychology of the Self: A Casebook*. New York: International Universities Press.
- (ed.) (1980). *Advances in Self Psychology*. New York: International Universities Press.
- (1980a). Letter to the editor. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 61:91-92.
- (1982). Obituary: Heinz Kohut. *International Journal of Psycho- Analysis* 63:257-258.
- (ed.) (1983). *The Future of Psychoanalysis*. New York: International Universities Press.
- (1983a). On the scientific status of empathy. *Annual of Psychoanalysis* 11:155-159.
- Goldberg, D. (1985). Panel: On the concept "object" in psychoanalysis. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 33:167-186.
- Goodsitt, A. (1985). Self-psychology and the treatment of anorexia nervosa. In *Handbook of Psychotherapy for Anorexia Nervosa and Bulimia*, ed. D. Garner and P. Garfinkel. New York: Guilford Press.
- Graves, R. (1955). *The Greek Myths*, vol. I. Baltimore: Penguin.
- Greenberg, J., and Mitchell, S. (1983). *Object Relations in Psychoanalytic Theory*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Greene, M. (1984). The self-psychology of Heinz Kohut. *Bulletin of the*

Menninger Clinic 48:37-53.

Greenson, R. (1960). Empathy and its vicissitudes. *International Journal of Psychoanalysis* 41:418-424.

Grinker, R., and Werble, B. (1975). *The Borderline Patient*. New York: Jason Aronson.

Grünbaum, A. (1983). Freud's theory: the perspective of a philosopher of science. *Proceedings of the American Philosophical Association* 57:5-31.

---- (1984). *The Foundations of Psychoanalysis*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Gunther, M. (1976). The endangered self: A contribution to the understanding of narcissistic determinants of countertransference. *Annual of Psychoanalysis* 4:201-224.

Guntrip, H. (1974). Psychoanalytic object relations theory: The Fairbairn-Guntrip approach. In *American Handbook of Psychiatry*, 2nd ed., vol. 1, ed. S. Arieti. New York: Basic Books.

---- (1975). My experience of analysis with Fairbairn and Winnicott. *International Review of Psychoanalysis* 2:145-156.

Hamburger, W. (1951). Emotional aspects of obesity. *Medical Clinics of North America* 35:483-499.

Hanly, C. (1979). *Existentialism and Psychoanalysis*. New York: International Universities Press.

- , and Masson, J. (1976). A critical examination of the new narcissism. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 57:49-66.
- Hartmann, H. (1927). Understanding and explanation. In *Essays on Ego Psychology*. New York: International Universities Press, 1964.
- (1950). Comments on the psychoanalytic theory of the ego. In *Essays on Ego Psychology*. New York: International Universities Press, 1964.
- Heimann, P. (1966). Comment on Dr. Kernberg's paper. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 47:254-260.
- Hoffman, N. (1984). Meyer Friedman: Type A behavior cardiovascular research continues. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 252:1385-1393.
- Holzman, P. (1976). The future of psychoanalysis and its institutes. *Psychoanalytic Quarterly* 45:250-273.
- Hunter, P. (1977). Sartre's existential humanism and Freud's existential naturalism. *Psychoanalytic Review* 64:289-298.
- Hurst, J., Logue, R., Schlant, R., and Wenger, N., eds. (1974). *The Heart: Arteries and Veins*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Husserl, E. (1913). *Ideas: General Introduction to Pure Phenomenology*, trans. W. Gibson. New York: Macmillan, 1952.
- Imber, R. (1984). Reflections on Kohut and Sullivan. *Contemporary Psychoanalysis* 20:363-380.

- Ingram, D. (1976). Psychoanalytic treatment of the obese person. *American Journal of Psychoanalysis* 36:227-235.
- Innaurato, A. (1977). *The Transfiguration of Benno Blimpie*. London: T. Q. Publications.
- Jacobson, E. (1964). *The Self and the Object World*. New York: International Universities Press.
- James, M. (1973). Review of *The Analysis of the Self*. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 54:363-368.
- Janik, A., and Toulmin, S. (1973). *Wittgenstein's Vienna*. New York: Simon and Schuster.
- Jaspers, K. (1972). *General Psychopathology*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Jenkins, C. (1971). Psychological and social precursors of coronary disease. *New England Journal of Medicine* 28:244-255, 307-317.
- (1976). Recent evidence supporting psychological and social risk factors for coronary disease. *New England Journal of Medicine* 294:987-994, 1033-1038.
- Jones, E. (1955). *The Life and Work of Sigmund Freud*, vol. 2. New York: Basic Books.
- Joseph, E., and Wallerstein, R., eds. (1982). *Psychotherapy: Impact on Psychoanalytic Training*. New York: International Universities Press.

- Jung, C. (1933). *Modern Man in Search of a Soul*. New York: Harcourt Brace.
- Kainer, R. (1984). From “evenly-hovering attention” to “vicarious introspection”: Issues of listening in Freud and Kohut. *American Journal of Psychoanalysis* 44:103-114.
- Kant, E. (1781). *Critique of Pure Reason*, trans. N. Smith. New York: St. Martin's Press, 1965.
- Kaplan, H., Freedman, A., and Sadock, B. (1980). *Comprehensive Textbook of Psychiatry*, 3rd ed. Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins.
- Katz, R. (1963). *Empathy, Its Nature and Uses*. New York: Glencoe Free Press.
- Kaufmann, W. (1980). *Discovering the Mind*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Kernberg, O. (1972). Critique of the Kleinian school. In *Tactics and Techniques in Psychoanalytic Therapy*, ed. P. Giovacchini. New York: Science House.
- (1974). Contrasting viewpoints regarding the nature and psychoanalytic treatment of narcissistic personalities: a preliminary communication. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 22:255-267.
- (1974a). Further contributions to the treatment of narcissistic personalities. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 55:215-240.
- (1975). *Borderline Conditions and Pathological Narcissism*. New York: Jason Aronson.

- (1975a). Further contributions to the treatment of narcissistic personalities: A reply to the discussion by Paul H. Ornstein. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 56:245-248.
- (1976). *Object Relations Theory and Clinical Psychoanalysis*. New York: Jason Aronson.
- (1980). *Internal World and External Reality*. New York: Jason Aronson.
- (1980a). Melanie Klein. In *Comprehensive Textbook of Psychiatry*, 3rd ed., ed. H. Kaplan, A. Freedman, and B. Sadock. Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins.
- (1982). Self, ego, affects and drives. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 30:893-917.
- (1982a). Review of *Advances In Self Psychology*. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 139:374-375.
- Kierkegaard, S. (1859). *The Point of View for My Work as an Author: A Report to History*, trans. W. Lowrie. New York: Harper and Row, 1962.
- Klein, M. (1975). *Envy and Gratitude and Other Works 1946-1963*. New York: Delta.
- Klein, M., and Tribich, D. (1981). Kernberg's object-relations theory: A critical evaluation. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 62:27-43.
- Kleist, H. (1976). *The Marquise of O—and Other Stories*, trans. M. Greenberg. New York; Ungar.

- Kohut, H. (1966). Forms and transformations of narcissism. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 14:243-272.
- (1968). The psychoanalytic treatment of narcissistic personality disorders. *Psychoanalytic Study of the Child* 23:86-113.
- (1971). *The Analysis of the Self*. New York: International Universities Press.
- (1977). *The Restoration of the Self*. New York: International Universities Press.
- (1978). *The Search for the Self*, ed., P. Ornstein. New York: International Universities Press.
- (1979). The two analyses of Mr. Z. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 60:3-27.
- (1982). Introspection, empathy, and the semi-circle of mental health. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 63:395-407.
- (1984). *How Does Analysis Cure?* Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- , and Wolf, E. (1978) The disorders of the self and their treatment: An outline. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 59:413-425.
- Kolb, L., and Brodie, H. (1982). *Modern Clinical Psychiatry*. Philadelphia: Saunders.
- Krystal, H. (1982). Adolescence and the tendencies to develop substance dependence. *Psychoanalytic Inquiry* 2:581-618.

- , and Raskin, H. (1970). *Drug Dependence: Aspects of Ego Function*. Detroit: Wayne State University Press.
- Kuhn, T. (1962). *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- (1977). *The Essential Tension: Selected Studies in Scientific Tradition and Change*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Lacan, J. (1968). *Speech and Language in Psychoanalysis*, trans. A. Wilden. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- (1977). *Écrits: A Selection*, trans. A. Sheridan. New York: Norton.
- (1978). *The Four Fundamental Concepts of Psycho-Analysis*, trans. A. Sheridan. New York: Norton.
- Laing, R. (1960). *The Divided Self*. New York: Pantheon Books.
- Langs, R. (1981). *Resistances and Interventions*. New York: Jason Aronson.
- (1982). *Psychotherapy: A Basic Text*. New York: Jason Aronson.
- Lasch, C. (1978). *The Culture of Narcissism*. New York: Norton.
- Leavy, S. (1980). *The Psychoanalytic Dialogue*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Leider, R. (1983). Analytic neutrality: A historical review. *Psychoanalytic Inquiry* 3:655-674.

- (1984). Panel report on the neutrality of the analyst in the analytic situation. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 32:573-586.
- Lemaire, A. (1981). *Jacques Lacan*. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
- Leon, G. (1982). Personality and behavioral correlates of obesity. In *Psychological Aspects of Obesity*, ed. B. Wolman. New York: Van Nostrand and Reinhold.
- Levine, M. (1961). Principles of psychiatric treatment. In *The Impact of Freudian Psychiatry*, ed. F. Alexander and H. Ross. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Levy, S. (1985). Empathy and psychoanalytic technique. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 33:353-378.
- Lichtenberg, J. (1973). Review of *The Analysis of the Self*. *Bulletin of the Philadelphia Association of Psychoanalysis* 23:58-66.
- (1978). Is there a line of development of narcissism?. *International Review of Psychoanalysis* 5:435-447.
- , and Kaplan, S., eds. (1983). *Reflections on Self Psychology*. Hillsdale, N.J.: Analytic Press.
- , Bornstein, M., and Silver, D. (1984). *Empathy*, vol. I. Hillsdale, N.J.: The Analytic Press.
- , Bornstein, M., and Silver D. (1984a). *Empathy*, vol. II. Hillsdale, N.J.: The Analytic Press.

- Lipton, S. (1977). The advantages of Freud's technique as shown in his analysis of the Rat Man. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 58: 255-273.
- (1979). An addendum to "The advantages of Freud's technique as shown in his analysis of the Rat Man." *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 60:215-216.
- Little, M. (1981). *Transference Neurosis and Transference Psychosis*. New York: Jason Aronson.
- Loewald, H. (1962). Internalization, separation, mourning, and the superego. *Psychoanalytic Quarterly* 31:483-504.
- (1973). Review of *The Analysis of the Self*. *Psychoanalytic Quarterly* 42:441-451.
- (1980). *Papers on Psychoanalysis*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Loewenstein, R., Newman, L., Schur, M., and Solnit, A., eds. (1966). *Psychoanalysis—A General Psychology*. New York: International Universities Press.
- London, N. (1985). An appraisal of self-psychology. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 66:95-108.
- Maass, J. (1983). *Kleist: A Biography*. Trans. A. Manheim. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
- Maccoby, M. (1976). *The Gamesman: The New Corporate Leader*. New York: Simon and Schuster.

- Mahler, M., Pine, F., and Bergman, A. (1975). *The Psychological Birth of the Human Infant*. New York: Basic Books.
- Malcolm, J. (1981). *Psychoanalysis: The Impossible Profession*. New York: Knopf.
- Masson, J. ed. (1985). *The Complete Letters of Sigmund Freud to Wilhelm Fliess: 1877-1904*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Masterson, J. (1976). *Psychotherapy of the Borderline Adult: A Developmental Approach*. New York: Brunner/Mazel.
- Mead, G. (1962). *Mind, Self, and Society*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Meissner, W. (1978). Notes on some conceptual aspects of borderline personality organization. *International Review of Psychoanalysis* 5:297-311.
- (1978a). Theoretical assumptions of concepts of the borderline personality. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 26:559-598.
- (1978b). *The Paranoid Process*. New York: Jason Aronson.
- (1980). Classical psychoanalysis. In *Comprehensive Textbook of Psychiatry*, 3rd ed., ed. H. Kaplan, A. Freedman, and B. Sadock. Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins.
- (1980a). The problem of internalization and structure formation. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 61:237-248.

- (1984). *The Borderline Spectrum*. New York: Jason Aronson.
- Menninger, B. (1958). *Theory of Psychoanalytic Technique*. New York: Basic Books.
- Meyers, S. (1981). Panel on the bipolar self. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 29:143-160.
- Mischel, T. (1977). *The Self: Psychological and Philosophical Issues*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Mitchell, S. (1981). Heinz Kohut's theory of narcissism. *American Journal of Psychoanalysis* 41:317-326.
- (1984). The problem of the will. *Contemporary Psychoanalysis* 20: 257-265.
- Modell, A. (1963). Primitive object relations and the predisposition to schizophrenia. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 44:282-292.
- (1968). *Object Love and Reality*. New York: International Universities Press.
- (1976). The holding environment and the therapeutic action of psychoanalysis. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 24:255-307.
- Moldofsky, H. (1984). Clinical research at the interface of medicine and psychiatry. In *Psychiatry Update*, vol. Ill, ed. L. Grinspoon. Washington, D.C.: American Psychiatric Press.

- Money-Kryle, B. (1974). The Kleinian school. In *American Handbook of Psychiatry*, 2nd ed., vol. I, ed. S. Arieti. New York: Basic Books.
- Montgomery, P. (1981). Obituary—Heinz Kohut. *New York Times*, October 10, 1981, p. 17.
- Moore, B., and Fine, D., eds. (1967). *A Glossary of Psychoanalytic Terms and Concepts*. New York: American Psychoanalytic Association.
- Muller, J., and Richardson, W. (1982). *Lacan and Language: A Reader's Guide to Écrits*. New York: International Universities Press.
- Murdoch, I. (1980). *Sartre: Romantic Realist*. New York: Barnes and Nobel.
- Murphy, W. (1973). Narcissistic problems in patients and therapists. *International Journal of Psychoanalytic Psychotherapy* 2:113-124.
- Myerson, P. (1981). The nature of the transactions that occur in other than classical analysis. *International Review of Psychoanalysis* 8:173-189.
- Nacht, S. (1962). The curative factors in psychoanalysis. *International Journal of Psychoanalysis* 43:206-211.
- Odier, C. (1956). *Anxiety and Magic Thinking*. New York: International Universities Press.
- Offenkrantz, W., and Tobin, A. (1974). Psychoanalytic psychotherapy. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 30:593-606.
- Ornstein, P. (1974). A discussion of the paper by Otto F. Kernberg on "Further

contributions to the treatment of narcissistic personalities.”
International Journal of Psychoanalysis 55:241-247.

---- (1981). The bipolar self in the psychoanalytic treatment process: Clinical-theoretical considerations. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 29:353-376.

---- , and Ornstein, A. (1980). Formulating interpretations in clinical psychoanalysis. *International Journal of Psychoanalysis* 61:203-212.

Ornston, D. (1985). Freud's conception is different from Strachey's. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 33:379-412.

Ostow, M. (1979). Letter to the editor. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 60:531-532.

Oxford (1970). *English Dictionary*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Palmer, R. (1969). *Hermeneutics: Interpretation Theory in Schleiermacher, Dilthey, Heidegger, and Gadamer*. Evanston, Ill.: Northwestern University Press.

Pao, P. (1983). Therapeutic empathy and the treatment of schizophrenics. *Psychoanalytic Inquiry* 3:145-167.

Patton, M., and Sullivan, J. (1980). Heinz Kohut and the classical psychoanalytic tradition: An analysis in terms of levels of explanation. *Psychoanalytic Review* 67:365-388.

Peterfreund, E. (1971). *Information, Systems, and Psychoanalysis: An*

Evolutionary Biological Approach to Psychoanalytic Theory. New York: International Universities Press.

Pflanze, O. (1972). Toward a psychoanalytic interpretation of Bismarck. *American Historical Review* 77:419-444.

Poland, W. (1974). On empathy in analytic practice. *Journal of the Philadelphia Association for Psychoanalysis* 1:284-297.

---- (1975). Tact as a psychoanalytic function. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 56:155-162.

---- (1984). On the analyst's neutrality. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 32:283-299.

Pope, H., Hudson, J., Jonas, J., and Yurgelun-Tood, D. (1983). Bulimia treated with imipramine. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 140:554-558.

Powers, P. (1980). *Obesity: The Regulation of Weight*. Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins.

Proust, M. (1981). *Remembrance of Things Past*, trans. C. Moncreiff, R. Kilmartin, and A. Mayor. New York: Random House.

Pruyser, P. (1975). What splits in "splitting"? *Bulletin of the Menninger Clinic* 39:1-46.

Quinn, S. (1980). Oedipus vs. Narcissus. *New York Times Magazine* November 9, 1980, pp. 120-131.

Rado, S. (1926). The psychic effects of intoxication. In *Psychoanalysis of*

Behavior: Collected Papers of Sandor Rado. New York: Grune and Stratton, 1956.

Rangell, L. (1981). From insight to change. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 29:119-142.

---- (1981a). Psychoanalysis and dynamic psychotherapy: Similarities and differences twenty-five years later. *Psychoanalytic Quarterly* 50:665-693.

---- (1985). The object in psychoanalytic theory. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 33:301-334.

Reich, A. (1960). Pathological forms of self-esteem regulation. *Psychoanalytic Study of the Child* 15:215-232.

Reik, T. (1949). *Listening with the Third Ear.* New York: Farrar, Straus.

Richards, A. (1982). Panel Report on psychoanalytic theories of the self. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 30:717-734.

---- (1982a). The supraordinate self in psychoanalytic theory and in the self-psychologies. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 30:939-958.

---- (1984). Panel report on the relation between psychoanalytic theory and psychoanalytic technique. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 32:587-602.

Ricoeur, P. (1970). *Freud and Philosophy: An Essay on Interpretation.* New Haven: Yale University Press.

- (1974). *The Conflict of Interpretations*. Evanston, Ill.: Northwestern University Press.
- Riesman, D., Glazer, N., and Denney, R. (1950). *The Lonely Crowd: A Study of the Changing American Character*. New York: Doubleday.
- Rime, E., and Bonami, M. (1979). Overt and covert personality traits associated with coronary heart disease. *British Journal of Medical Psychology* 52:77-84.
- Roazen, P. (1975). *Freud and His Followers*. New York: Knopf.
- Robbins, M. (1980). Current controversy in object relations theory as an outgrowth of a schism between Klein and Fairbairn. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 61:477-492.
- (1982). Narcissistic personality as a symbiotic character disorder. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 63:457-473.
- Rosenfeld, H. (1964). On the psychopathology of narcissism: A clinical approach. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 45:332-337.
- (1971). A clinical approach to the psychoanalytic theory of the life and death instincts: An investigation into the aggressive aspects of narcissism. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 52:169-178.
- Rossner, J. (1983). *August*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Rotenberg, C. (1983). A contribution to the theory of treatment of personality disorders. *Journal of the American Academy of Psychoanalysis* 11:227-249.

- Rothstein, A. (1980). Toward a critique of the psychology of the self. *Psychoanalytic Quarterly* 49:423-455.
- (1980a). Psychoanalytic paradigms and their narcissistic investment. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 28:385-396.
- Sachs, D. (1979). On the relationship between psychoanalysis and psychoanalytic psychotherapy. *Journal of the Philadelphia Association for Psychoanalysis* 6:119-145.
- Sadow, L. (1969). Ego axis in psychopathology. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 21:15-24.
- Sartre, J. (1964). *Nausea*. New York: New Directions.
- (1973). *Being and Nothingness*, trans. H. Barnes. New York: Washington Square Press.
- (1976). *Critique of Dialectical Reason*. London: New Left Books.
- (1984). *War Diaries*. New York: Pantheon Books.
- Satow, R. (1983). Response to Colleen Clements's "Misusing psychiatric models: The culture of narcissism." *Psychoanalytic Review* 69:296-302.
- Schafer, R. (1968). *Aspects of Internalization*. New York: International Universities Press.
- (1985). Wild analysis. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 33:275-300.

- Schneiderman, S. (1983). *Jacques Lacan: The Death of an Intellectual Hero*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Schur, M. (1972). *Freud: Living and Dying*. New York: International Universities Press.
- Schwaber, E. (1979). On the "self" within the matrix of analytic theory. Some clinical reflections and reconsiderations. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 60:467-479.
- (1981). Narcissism, self-psychology, and the listening perspective. *Annual of Psychoanalysis* 9:115-131.
- (1983). Psychoanalytic listening and psychic reality. *International Review of Psychoanalysis* 10:379-392.
- Schwartz, L. (1973). Panel report on technique and prognosis in the treatment of narcissistic personality disorders. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 21:617-632.
- (1978). Review of *The Restoration of the Self*. *Psychoanalytic Quarterly* 47:436-443.
- Scruton, R. (1982). *Kant*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Searles, H. (1985). Separation and loss in psychoanalytic therapy with borderline patients: Further remarks. *American Journal of Psychoanalysis* 45:9-27.
- Segal, H. (1974). *Introduction to the Work of Melanie Klein*. New York: Basic Books.

- (1980). *Melanie Klein*. New York: Viking.
- (1983). Some clinical implications of Melanie Klein's work: Emergence from narcissism. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 64: 269-276.
- Sennett, R. (1984). *An Evening of Brahms*. New York: Knopf.
- Shainess, N. (1979). The swing of the pendulum—from anorexia to obesity. *American Journal of Psychoanalysis* 39:225-235.
- Shapiro, E. (1978). The psychodynamics and developmental psychology of the borderline patient: A review of the literature. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 135:1305-1315.
- Shapiro, T. (1974). The development and distortions of empathy. *Psychoanalytic Quarterly* 43:4-25.
- (1981). Empathy: A critical evaluation. *Psychoanalytic Inquiry* 1: 423-448.
- Silverman, M. (1985). Countertransference and the myth of the perfectly analyzed analyst. *Psychoanalytic Quarterly* 54:175-199.
- Slap, J., and Levine, F. (1978). On hybrid concepts in psychoanalysis. *Psychoanalytic Quarterly* 47:499-523.
- Smith, N. (1962). *A Commentary to Kant's Critique of Pure Reason*. New York: Humanities Press.
- Solberg, L. (1984). Lassitude: A primary care evaluation. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 251:3272-3276.

- Soll, I. (1981). Sartre's rejection of the Freudian unconscious. In *The Philosophy of Jean-Paul Sartre*, ed. P. Schilpp. La Salle, 111.: Open Court.
- Speer, A. (1970). *Inside the Third Reich: Memoirs*. New York: Macmillan.
- Spillius, E. (1983). Some developments from the work of Melanie Klein. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 674:321-332.
- Spitzer, R., Chairperson (1980). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, 3rd ed. Washington, D.C.: American Psychiatric Association.
- Spruiell, V. (1974). Theories of the treatment of narcissistic personalities. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 22:268-278.
- Stein, M. (1979). Review of *The Restoration of the Self*. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 27:665-680.
- (1984). Rational versus anagogic interpretation: Xenophon's dream and others. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 32: 529-556.
- Stepansky, P. (1983). Perspectives on dissent: Adler, Kohut, and the idea of a psychoanalytic research tradition. *Annual of Psychoanalysis* 9:51—74.
- Stepansky, P., and Goldberg, A., eds. (1984). *Kohut's Legacy: Contributions to Self-Psychology*. Hillsdale, N.J.: Analytic Press.
- Sterba, R. (1982). *Reminiscences of a Viennese Psychoanalyst*. Detroit: Wayne

State University Press.

Stone, L. (1961). *The Psychoanalytic Situation*. New York: International Universities Press.

---- (1981). Notes on the noninterpretive elements in the psychoanalytic situation and process. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 29:89-118.

Strachey, J. (1934). The nature of the therapeutic action of psychoanalysis. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 15:127-159.

Stunkard, A., and Burt, V. (1967). Obesity and the body image II. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 123:1443-1447.

---- (1975). Obesity. In *American Handbook of Psychiatry*, 2nd ed., vol. IV, ed. S. Arieti. New York: Basic Books.

---- (1980). Obesity. In *Comprehensive Textbook of Psychiatry*, 3rd. ed., vol. II, ed. H. Kaplan, A. Freedman, and B. Sadock. Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins.

Sturrock, J., ed. (1979). *Structuralism and Since: From Levi Strauss to Derrida*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Sullivan, H. (1953). *The Interpersonal Theory of Psychiatry*. New York: Norton.

Tarachow, S. (1963). *An Introduction to Psychotherapy*. New York: International Universities Press.

Tartakoff, H. (1966). The normal personality in our culture and the Nobel

Prize complex. In *Psychoanalysis: A General Psychology*, ed. R. Loewenstein, L. Newman, M. Schur, and A. Solnit. New York: International Universities Press.

Taylor, C. (1975). *Hegel*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Teicholz, J. (1978). A selective review of the psychoanalytic literature on theoretical conceptualizations of narcissism. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 26:831-862.

Ticho, E. (1982). The alternate schools and the self. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 30:849-862.

Tolpin, P. (1983). A change in the self: The development and transformation of an idealizing transference. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 64:461-483.

Treurniet, N. (1983). Psychoanalysis and self-psychology: A metapsychological essay with a clinical illustration. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 31:59-100.

Trilling, L. (1971). *Sincerity and Authenticity: Six Lectures*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Tuchman, B. (1984). *The March of Folly*. New York: Knopf.

Turkle, S. (1978). *Psychoanalytic Politics*. New York: Basic Books.

Tuttman, S. (1978). Discussion in symposium on Kohut's *Restoration of the Self*. *Psychoanalytic Review* 65:624-629.

Volkan, V. (1976). *Primitive Internalized Object Relations*. New York: International Universities Press.

Waelder, R. (1930). The principle of multiple function: Observations on over-determination. *Psychoanalytic Quarterly* 5:45-62.

Waldron, S. (1983). Review of *Doing Psychotherapy* by Michael Franz Basch. *Psychoanalytic Quarterly* 52:624-629.

Wallerstein, R. (1981). The bipolar self: Discussion of alternative perspectives. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 29:377-394.

Webster (1961). *New International Dictionary of the English Language*. Springfield: Merriam.

Weiss, E., and English, O. (1957). *Psychosomatic Medicine: A Clinical Study of Psycho-physiologic Reactions*. Philadelphia: Saunders.

Williams, R., Haney, T., Lee, K., Kong, Y., Blumenthal, J., and Whalen, R. (1980). Type-A behavior, hostility, and coronary atherosclerosis. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 42:539-549.

Williamson, A. (1984). *Introspection and Contemporary Poetry*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Wilson, C., ed. (1983). *Fear of Being Fat*. New York: Jason Aronson.

Winnicott, D. (1953). Transitional objects and transitional phenomena: A study of the first not-me possession. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 34:89-97.

- (1958). *Collected Papers: Through Pediatrics to Psycho-Analysis*. New York: Basic Books.
- (1965). *The Maturation Process and the Facilitating Environment*. New York: International Universities Press.
- (1969). The use of an object. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 50:711-716.
- (1971). Letter to Mme. Jeannine Kalmanovitch. *Nouvelle Revue de Psychoanalyse*, vol. 3. Quoted by M. Kahn in the Introduction to *Winnicott's Collected Papers*, 2nd ed. Toronto: Clarke, Irwin, 1975.
- Wolf, E. (1976). Ambience and abstinence. *Annual of Psychoanalysis* 4: 101-115.
- (1979). Transference and countertransference in analysis of disorders of the self. *Contemporary Psychoanalysis* 15:577-594.
- (1980). Tomorrow's self: Heinz Kohut's contribution to adolescent psychiatry. *Adolescent Psychiatry* 8:41-50.
- , Gedo, J., and Terman, D. (1972). On the adolescent process as a transformation of the self. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence* 1:257-272.
- Wollheim, R. (1984). *The Thread of Life*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Wood, A. (1981). *Karl Marx*. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
- Woolcott, P. (1981). Addiction: Clinical and theoretical considerations. *Annual*

of Psychoanalysis 9:189-206.

Wooley, S., and Wooley, O. (1980). Eating disorders: Obesity and anorexia. In *Women and Psychotherapy*, ed. A. Brodsky and R. Hare-Muslin. New York: Guilford Press.

Zeigarnik, R. (1927). Über das Behalten von erledigten und unerledigten Handlungen. *Psychologische Forschung* 9:1-85.